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Learning objectives

- Review evidence and gaps in knowledge re: bariatric surgery as a specific treatment for NASH (compared to other available Rx)
- Understand current guidelines for when to consider bariatric surgery in youth
- Describe types of bariatric surgeries available to adolescents, risks and benefits

Why are we worried about NASH?

- NASH-related cirrhosis most rapidly rising indication for liver transplantation in the US
  – increased 6 fold over the last decade.
  – 14th to 3rd leading indication in only 10 years
- Predicted to outpace all other etiologies for liver transplant in adults by 2030.
- Lack of easily implemented treatments

Afzali, A. Liver Transpl 2012;18:29-37

Case Presentation

- 16 year old male with type 2 diabetes presents to your clinic with elevated liver enzymes
  – ALT 108 U/L, AST 89 U/L, GGT 96 U/L
  – BMI 38 kg/m²
  – HgbA₁C 6.8 %
  – BP 139/85
  – ANA positive 1:640
  – Biopsy done →
What are his treatment options?

1. Counsel him on lifestyle changes (± RD referral)?
2. Counsel him on lifestyle interventions but add high dose vitamin E?
3. Discuss an intensive lifestyle intervention program and refer if interested (assuming one is available)?
4. Discuss bariatric surgery and refer if interested (assuming a program is available)?

Audience poll: your choice? (assume all options available)

1. Office-based standard lifestyle counseling
2. Lifestyle counseling + high dose vitamin E
3. Refer to intensive lifestyle intervention
4. Discuss bariatric surgery

Let’s review the best available evidence behind each of these available treatments...

• Gold standard: randomized controlled trial
  – 2nd choice: well-designed prospective cohort study ± control group

• Histology-based NASH outcomes
  – NAS improvement ≥ 2 points (common)
  – Resolution of NASH (preferred?)
Option 1: “Standard” lifestyle counseling (SLC)

- “Heart Healthy” Diet:
  - Increase fruits and vegetables to 5/day
  - Avoid high sugar, high fat foods & drinks
  - Reduce take out/fast food meals
  - Eat healthy portion controlled breakfast

- Increase Activity:
  - Reduce screen time < 2 hrs/day
  - Moderate to vigorous activity 1 hr/day

- Follow up every 3-6 months

How well does this work?

Effect of Vitamin E or Metformin for Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Children and Adolescents
The TONIC Randomized Controlled Trial

N=58 in placebo + SLC (x 2 years):

- 17% sustained reduction in ALT (primary)
- 28% remission of NASH (n=11/39)
- No significant change in BMI (z score: 0.01)

Lavine JE. JAMA 2011;305:1659

CLINICAL—LIVER

Weight Loss Through Lifestyle Modification Significantly Reduces Features of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis

- N=293 (Prospective cohort)
  - Every 8 week visits with behavioral focus on diet and exercise (30 min/day) x 12 months

- 25% achieved remission of NASH
- 47% achieved improvement in NAS ≥ 2 points
- Mean weight loss 3.8%

Amount of weight loss matters!

Resolution of NASH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% weight loss</th>
<th>Resolution of NASH</th>
<th>NAS Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If ≥ 10% weight loss, 90% resolution of NASH

Gastro 2015;149:367-78

Option 2: Vitamin E (+ SLC)

Effect of Vitamin E or Metformin for Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Children and Adolescents
The TONIC Randomized Controlled Trial

Same TONIC study, over 2 years:
400 IU Vitamin E (RRR-α-tocopherol) BID (N=58)
 Vs.
Placebo (N=58)

How well did this work?

• Adding Vitamin E was no better than standard lifestyle counseling for most primary and secondary outcomes.
• No significant differences between
  – ALT (primary)
  – BMI z score
  – HOMA-IR
  – Most histology outcomes except...
Resolution of NASH

Same TONIC study, over 2 years:
- 58% resolution of NASH vitamin E (n=25/43)*
- 28% resolution placebo (n=11/39)  *P=0.006

• Caveats of this secondary analysis:
  – Predominantly due to reduced ballooning
  – No effect on steatosis, inflammation or fibrosis
  – CVD risks and prostate cancer reported in adults taking high dose vitamin E...

Option 3: Intensive Lifestyle Interventions (ILI)

- Frequent visits (every 2-4 weeks)
  – Moderate to high intensity programs work best for kids
  – 26 to >72 contact hours per year
- Multidisciplinary support
  – MD with weight management expertise
  – RD with weight management expertise
  – Exercise options and targets
  – Behavioral support (goal setting, tracking, incentives)
Lifestyle Intervention and Antioxidant Therapy in Children with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Randomized, Controlled Trial

- N=53 randomized to intensive lifestyle intervention with antioxidant vs. placebo
- No benefit of antioxidant therapy over placebo (n=28 in placebo)
  - 68% improved NAS score
  - Resolution of NASH not reported
  - Mean BMI down -2.88 units

Hepatology 2008;48:119

Randomized Controlled Trial Testing the Effects of Weight Loss on Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis

- N=31 in RCT
  - ILI (n=21) vs. control (n=10)
  - 61% improved
  - 67% resolved
  - ILI lost mean 9.3% weight

Gastro 2015;149:367-78

Limitations of many intervention studies:

- Minimal advanced fibrotic liver disease
  - Outcomes of more advanced fibrotic liver disease unclear
- Varying prevalence of severe obesity
Sobering facts about pediatric NASH and severe obesity

- Many children with NASH are severely obese
  - Mean BMI of 33-34 kg/m² often seen in US literature
  - TONIC RCT: mean BMI 34 ± 7 in mean age 13
- Treatment of severe obesity is more difficult
  - 2-4% of severely obese kids reduced BMI in intensive treatment trials
  - Not maintained for vast majority
- No weight loss typical with SLC

Option 4: should this young man be counseled about bariatric surgery?

Bariatric Surgery Reduces Features of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis in Morbidly Obese Patients

85% Remission of NASH (94% if mild vs. 70% if severe)

Fibrosis improved in 46.3%

Gastroenterology 2015; 149:379
Almost all data from adult studies

• Improves steatosis > steatohepatitis > fibrosis
• 69.5% resolution of NASH in meta-analysis
• But no studies randomized or controlled
• Some patients showed a deterioration of fibrosis in larger studies with longer follow-up

"lack of scientifically sound evidence precludes any recommendation to support or reject bariatric surgery in patients with NAFLD"
Cochrane Review 2010

Mummadi RR. Clin Gastro Hepatol 2008;6:1396

ASMBBS guidelines

ASMBBS pediatric committee best practice guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥ 35</td>
<td>• Type 2 DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• moderate-severe OSA [AHI ≥ 15 events/hr]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• pseudotumor cerebri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• severe NASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 40</td>
<td>• Mild OSA (AHI&lt;5 events/hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• HTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Insulin resistance/IGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dyslipidemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• impaired QOL or ADL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOARD 2012;8:1-7

What do we know about bariatric surgery in adolescents with NAFLD?
NIDDK-funded Teen Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery

- \( N = 242 \) teens (13-19 yrs) undergoing bariatric surgery at 5 centers
- Baseline data collected ≤ 30 days of operation.
- Standard co-morbidity assessment

\[ \text{Gastro 2015;149:623} \]

Bariatric procedures available to Teens

- Not FDA Approved for Teens (5%)
- Adjustable Gastric Band
- Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass
- Vertical Sleeve Gastroctomy

- 28% in Teen LABS
- Now > 70% in our program

66% in Teen LABS (90% nationally)

Prevalence of NAFLD and NASH among adolescent WLS

157 teens with intraoperative liver biopsies (BMI 52 kg/m²)
16 excluded due to medications (13) or insufficient tissue (3)

- No NAFLD (41%)
- NASH (20%)
- NAFLD-Not NASH (39%)

Mean BMI 52

Xanthakos et al., Gastroenterology 2015
Predictors of increasingly severe NAFLD/NASH

- ALT elevation
  - Mild (22-39 females, 26-39 males) OR 3.41
  - High (>40 U/L) OR 6.66
- Fasting glucose elevation
  - 100-125 mg/dL OR 1.48
  - ≥126 mg/dL OR 8.10
- WBC OR 1.17
- Hypertension OR 2.28

Fibrosis was surprisingly mild

- Diabetes and ALT only significant predictor of fibrosis
  - Diabetes OR 2.56 (1.10, 5.96) p=0.03
  - ALT>40 U/L OR 2.41 (0.84, 6.98) p=0.08
- No patients with cirrhosis

Why do only a minority of severely obese adolescents have fibrotic NASH?

- Referral bias? — guidelines divergent on whether to use bariatric surgery to treat NASH
- Selection bias? NASH cohorts referred for elevated ALT
- Biological differences in severe obesity? No data yet to support this
Characteristics of adolescents with NASH in WLS vs. NASH programs at CCHMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>N seen 2010-2012</th>
<th>Median BMI* (IQR)</th>
<th>Median ALT* (IQR)</th>
<th>Gender*</th>
<th>Mean Age ± SD</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCHMC NASH Center</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39 (37,44)</td>
<td>86 (58,96)</td>
<td>78% M 22% F</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>87% White 10% Black 10% Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bariatric Surgery Program</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50 (45,56)</td>
<td>34 (25,58)</td>
<td>29% M 71% F</td>
<td>17.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>91% White 9% Black 0% Hispanic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIDDK K23 (PI: Xanthakos, SA) – unpublished data

What about outcomes of NASH in adolescents after WLS?

Of 9 adolescent bariatric surgery studies, only 4 include NAFLD and 1 with outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Surgery (N)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boza C, SOARD 2012</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>VSG (N=59)</td>
<td>Fatty liver in 10% (not clear how measured)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holterman A, J Ped Surg 2010</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>AGB (N=26)</td>
<td>65% US “fatty liver” 88% ”NASH” on biopsy 0 with cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holterman A, J Ped Surg 2012</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>AGB (N=20)</td>
<td>Similar NAFLD among morbidly obese (BMI &lt;50) &amp; super obese (BMI ≥ 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olbers T, IJO 2012</td>
<td>Prospective cohort with control group</td>
<td>RYGB (N=81)</td>
<td>Mean ALT and AST down 50% at 1 and 3 years No reported ALT/AST change in controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Preliminary Data: Efficacy of WLS in resolving NASH in adolescents**

| Liver histology changes after bariatric surgery in 18 adolescents with baseline NAFLD/NASH |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Median months between biopsies  | 13 (12,19)                  |
| Mean change in overall NAS score| 2.7 ± 1.4                   |
| Complete resolution of NAFLD    | 15/18 (83%)                 |
| Resolution of Definite/Borderline NASH to Not NASH | 10/11 (91%) |
| Mean change in fibrosis score   | -0.7 ± 0.9                  |
| Resolution of fibrosis to stage 0| 5/9 (56%)                   |


**Limitations**

- Small observational cohort
  - No lifestyle intervention control group
  - Not all patients with NASH followed up or had 2nd biopsy
  - Timeline for FU biopsy variable (12-18 months)
  - Not representative of severely obese teens with NASH seen in Liver Clinics
    - Most patients did not have elevated liver enzymes
    - Histologically less advanced disease
    - More obese (higher mean BMI)

**Outcome of NASH in Adolescents after Bariatric Surgery vs. Comprehensive Lifestyle Intervention (NASH ABC)**

- Biopsy ≤ 90 days before starting intervention
- Screening & Enrollment in Steatohepatitis & Bariatric Clinics
- Comprehensive Multidisciplinary Intervention ≤ 12 months
- Within 14 days of 12 month visit
- Vertical Sleeve Gastrectomy
  - Standard of care clinical care
- Inclusion Criteria
  - Biopsy-confirmed NASH
  - BMI 35-60 kg/m²
  - Ages 13-19 years
Putting it all together – how do current treatments stack up?

Adolescent Postoperative Complications (≤ 30 days of WLS)

- 19 subjects (7.9%) → 20 Major Complications
- 36 subjects (14.9%) → 47 Minor Complications

- **Major:** Life threatening/permanent harm, organ loss, reoperation, blood transfusion, major deviation in anesthetic/operative management
- **Minor:** Unplanned perioperative events (liver/spleen lac), mesenteric hematoma, injury to adjacent organs, deviation from routine care (initiate non-oral enteric feeding, TPN administration, etc.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Major (%)</th>
<th>Minor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RYGB</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSG</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAGB</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inge et al. JAMA Pediatrics, 2013

FABS-5: Nutrient status at 8 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>RYGB (n=58)</th>
<th>Non-Op (n=30)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low albumin</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ferritin</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low B12</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated parathyroid</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Alk Phos</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low vitamin D</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low folate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inge et al. Unpublished data
**Quest for a bariomimetic drug we’re not there yet...**

- FXR agonists promising
  - but raised LDL and decreased HDL cholesterol in recent RCT

- Challenge: identify which molecular agent(s) achieve the same effect as surgery without undesirable effects

22% resolved NASH in FLINT study
45% improved NAS ≥2 points

**Putting it all together – how do current treatments stack up?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pediatric No NASH</th>
<th>Adult No NASH</th>
<th>Pediatric NAS ≥2</th>
<th>Adult NAS ≥2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic lifestyle counseling</td>
<td>-2.8 to -3 BMI units (-9% weight)</td>
<td>-12 units (-24% of BMI)</td>
<td>-0.7 BMI units</td>
<td>-0.7 BMI units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive lifestyle program</td>
<td>-2.8 to -3 BMI units (-9% weight)</td>
<td>-12 units (-24% of BMI)</td>
<td>-0.7 BMI units</td>
<td>-0.7 BMI units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bariatric surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCA (FXR agonist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effects of surgery likely multifactorial**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediator</th>
<th>Bariatric Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLP-1</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyntomodulin</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYY</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile Acids</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*It is not just “stomach stapling!”*
Is Surgery a Panacea for NASH?
Not yet....

- No controlled or randomized studies, small Ns
- Long term outcomes and risks unknown (most 1-2 yrs)
  - Remission vs. Cure?
- Not accessible. Cost? (need cost-effectiveness studies)
- Not right for everyone
  - Not severely obese (but ~ ⅓ of kids with NASH are severely obese)
  - Too young
  - Not psychosocially ready
  - Not interested (surgical risk)

Back to our patient...

- 16 year old male
  - Severe NASH, stage 3 fibrosis
  - Type 2 Diabetes
  - BMI 38
Pediatric Recommendations from 2012 ACG, AGA, AASLD Guidelines:

- Intensive lifestyle modification is first step
- No medications recommended
  - Vitamin E: confirmatory studies needed.
- Bariatric surgery not contraindicated
  - but premature to recommend as specific Rx


Shared Decision Making Important

Take-Home Messages

- Highest rates of resolution of NASH are linked to ≥10% weight loss
  - "...in the real world, intensive lifestyle counseling must be offered to all NASH patients, even though the applicability of these interventions depends largely on their availability and real-world adherence to these programs..."
  - Vilar-Gomez et al Gastro 2015;149:367-78

- Important to include bariatric surgery as a tool to achieve significant sustained weight loss (~30%)
  - Data suggest significant benefit for NASH (& DM2, OSA, CVD)

- Further study needed to determine long-term (10+):
  - Maintenance of weight loss
  - Resolution of NASH and related diseases
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Questions?

Appendix slides
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Weight change</th>
<th>Reduced NAS 1/2 points</th>
<th>Resolved NAS</th>
<th>Improved Fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Counseling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric [TONIC n=58]</td>
<td>P: -0.01 BMI z score</td>
<td>P = 0.01</td>
<td>P: 28% (n=15)</td>
<td>P: 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults [Villar Gomez=283]</td>
<td>A: -3.8 ± 2.7 kg</td>
<td>A: -0.7% (15%) PIVENS (22%) FLINT</td>
<td>A: -25% (15% PIVENS) (12%) FLINT</td>
<td>A: -19% (33%) PIVENS (13%) FLINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (PIVENS n=84)</td>
<td>A: BMI up 0.1</td>
<td>A: 43%</td>
<td>A: 30%</td>
<td>A: 42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric [PIVENS n=58]</td>
<td>P: -0.03 BMI z score</td>
<td>P = n/a</td>
<td>P: 50% (n=25/50)</td>
<td>P: 37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intensive Lifestyle intervention</strong></td>
<td>P: -2.88 BMI units</td>
<td>P: 0.08</td>
<td>P: n/a</td>
<td>P: n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nobil (n=28)</td>
<td>A: -0.3% of set -3 BMI units</td>
<td>A: 61%*</td>
<td>A: 67%*</td>
<td>A: n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promat (n=22)</td>
<td>A: -0.7 units / 2.8 kg</td>
<td>A: 45%*</td>
<td>A: 22%</td>
<td>A: 35%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR agonist [OCA] (FLINT n=126)</td>
<td>A: -0.7 units / 2.3 kg</td>
<td>A: 45%*</td>
<td>A: 22%</td>
<td>A: 35%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bariatric surgery</strong></td>
<td>P: -30% BMI</td>
<td>A: -24% (12 setts)</td>
<td>A: ?</td>
<td>A: ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassailly (n=109)</td>
<td>A: n/a</td>
<td>A: 8.5% (6%)</td>
<td>A: 86.3%</td>
<td>A: 46.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>