Disclosure provided?
Review by Executive Director of NASPGHAN

YES

MEMBER HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST TO DISCLOSE: Review by activity chair, committee chair, and/or other designee.

Chair or designee completes COI Assessment Form.

Review by chair or designee not resolved

1. Review conclusion: Unacceptable – Member is prohibited from participation
2. Review conclusion: Participation permitted – with implementation of recommendation to preclude bias or provide disclosure
3. Review conclusion: Participation permitted – because COI disclosure is deemed not a possible source of bias
4. Review conclusion: No Conclusion Reached – Activity chair, committee chair, or both send COI disclosure to Ethics Committee for review and recommendation

REVIEW DISCLOSED CONFLICT WITH THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS IN MIND:
1. Is there any question that full disclosure from the individual has not been made?
2. Is there any indication that the clinical information this individual could provide to the NASPGHAN activity could be perceived as misleading?
3. Is there any indication that the individual in his/her professional role potentially improperly favors any outside entity or appears to have an incentive to do so?
4. Does the member appear to be subject to incentives that might lead to inappropriate bias?
5. Is there any indication that obligations to the objectives of the activity being conducted by NASPGHAN will not be met as a result of an individual’s conflict of interest?
6. Could the individual’s circumstances represent any possible violation of federal, state, or local laws and requirements?
7. Do the current engagements of the individual present any conflicts between outside interests (e.g., working on projects simultaneously for competing business entities, fiduciary positions with other organizations, etc.?)
8. Would the activity’s agenda or content receive peer review prior to its initiation?
9. Will the project be supervised by someone with authority who has no conflicting interest?
10. Are there means to verify or evaluate results (e.g., independent corroboration by another disinterested individual on the committee, in the NASPGHAN membership, etc.)?

Ethics Committee makes a recommendation to the activity and/or committee chair and forwards a written recommendation to the Executive Council for final disposition.

Review process ends.